

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON

KWAI FUN WONG and WU-WEI TIEN TAO )  
ASSOCIATION, )  
Plaintiffs, ) Civil No. 01-718-ST  
v. )  
DAVID V. BEEBE, a former Immigration and )  
Naturalization Service (nka Department of )  
Homeland Security) Official, and the UNITED )  
STATES OF AMERICA, )  
Defendants. )

Beth Ann Creighton  
Zan E. Tewksbury  
Thomas M. Steenson  
STEENSON SCHUMANN TEWKSURY CREIGHTON & ROSE, P.C.  
815 S.W. Second Avenue, Suite 500  
Portland, OR 97204

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

R. Joseph Sher  
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE  
Torts Branch  
Civil Division  
2100 Jamieson Avenue  
Alexandria, VA 22314

James G. Bartolotto  
Zachary C. Richter  
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE  
Civil Division, Constitutional Torts Section  
P. O. Box 7146, Ben Franklin Station  
Washington, D.C. 20044

James L. Sutherland  
UNITED STATES ATTORNEY'S OFFICE  
1000 S.W. Third Avenue, Suite 600  
Portland, OR 97204-2902

Attorneys for Defendants

JONES, Judge:

Magistrate Judge Janice M. Stewart filed Findings and Recommendation (#443) on January 24, 2007, in the above entitled case. The matter is now before me pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b). When either party objects to any portion of a magistrate judge's Findings and Recommendation, the district court must make a de novo determination of that portion of the magistrate judge's report. See 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); McDonnell Douglas Corp. v. Commodore Business Machines, Inc., 656 F.2d 1309, 1313 (9th Cir. 1981), cert. denied, 455 U.S. 920 (1982).

Plaintiffs and defendants have timely filed objections. I have, therefore, given de novo review of Magistrate Judge Stewart's rulings.

I find no error. Accordingly, I ADOPT Magistrate Judge Stewart's Findings and Recommendation (#443) dated January 24, 2007, in its entirety. Plaintiff Wong's motion

(#400) for partial summary judgment against the defendants David V. Beebe and the United States of America is denied; defendant David Beebe's motion (#403) for summary judgment is granted in part and denied in part; and defendant United States' motion (#405) for summary judgment is granted in part and denied in part as follows:

**First Claim (Fourth Amendment):**

Deny summary judgment both to Wong and Beebe as to whether the strip searches violated the Fourth Amendment

**Second Claim (First Amendment):**

Grant summary judgment to Beebe

**Third Claim (Declaratory Judgment):**

Grant summary judgment to the United States

**Fourth Claim (RFRA):**

Grant summary judgment to Beebe and deny summary judgment to Wong

**Fifth Claim (FTCA):**

False Imprisonment: Grant summary judgment to the United States and deny summary judgment to Wong

Invasion of Privacy: Grant summary judgment to the United States and deny summary judgment to Wong

Negligence:

Grant summary judgment to the United States against the Wu-Wei Tien Tao Association as to all specifications;

Grant summary judgment to the United States against Wong and deny summary judgment to Wong as to sending letters containing misstatements and adjudicating adjustment of status application; Deny summary judgment to the United States against Wong and deny summary judgment to Wong as to conditions of confinement.

I further deny plaintiffs' motion (#454) to supplement the summary judgment record.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED this 10th day of April, 2007.

/s/ Robert E. Jones  
ROBERT E. JONES  
United States District Judge